JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
Vol.2024:35

Application of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Industry: A Critical Review

Ma Cristina Godala[1*], Jason See Toh Seong Kuan[1], Li Ming[2], Lin Chengzhe [3]

[1] Faculty of Business and Communications, INTI International University, Malaysia
[2] North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power, China
[3] Zhejiang Gongshang University Hangzhou College of Commerce, China

[*]**Email:** i23025612@student.newinti.edu.my

Abstract

Long-term cost-effectiveness studies are needed to determine the financial impact of AI adoption on healthcare systems. Upskilling the healthcare workforce will be vital to ensure professionals can adapt to evolving AI-driven workflows. Developing standardized frameworks will be crucial for seamlessly integrating AI solutions across different healthcare institutions. Beyond the immediate challenges these journals identified, other practical considerations deserve attention. AI in healthcare presents a powerful opportunity for transformation. However, acknowledging and addressing the ethical, practical, and logistical challenges can pave the way for responsible development and ensure AI fulfils its transformative potential, ultimately improving healthcare for all. In conclusion, while AI holds immense promise for the future of healthcare, its successful integration hinges on addressing these critical issues. To translate these findings into practical steps, a multi-pronged approach is necessary. Further research on the effectiveness of AI in various settings and clear regulations are necessary to ensure AI is implemented fairly, ethically, and effectively across the globe.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Systems, Leadership Empowerment

1.0 Introduction

Many industries are fast changing due to artificial intelligence (AI) and the healthcare industry is no exception. Artificial intelligence (AI) describes how technology, particularly computer systems, mimics human intelligence processes. (Azzi S. et al., 2020). These processes include learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, and language understanding (Russell & Norvig, 2016). The integration of AI in healthcare can revolutionize the industry by enabling more accurate diagnoses, individualized care, better patient outcomes, and lower medical expenses (Jiang et al., 2017).

Medical imaging and diagnostics are two main areas where AI is used in healthcare. Medical imaging, including X-rays, CT scans, and MRIs, can be analyzed by AI algorithms, especially those focused on machine learning and deep learning, to identify anomalies and diagnose

Submission: 1 December 2024; **Acceptance:** 27 December 2024

disease. For instance, AI can help detect early signs of cancer, Alzheimer's disease, and other conditions, enabling timely intervention and treatment (Esteva et al., 2019).

Another application of AI in healthcare is in drug discovery and development. AI can examine enormous volumes of data from numerous sources, including clinical trials, genetic profiles, and molecular structures, to identify potential drug candidates and predict their efficacy and safety. This has the potential to greatly cut down on the time and expense involved in conventional drug discovery and development methods (Bansal et al., 2021).

AI is also used in personalized medicine, where individuals' specific treatments are customized according to their genetic makeup and lifestyle. AI algorithms may examine patient data to find trends and patterns, allowing medical professionals to create individualized treatment programs (Mirnezami et al., 2012).

In addition, AI is used in remote monitoring and telehealth, enabling healthcare providers to monitor patient's health in real-time and provide timely interventions. Wearable tech and smartphone apps with AI capabilities can monitor patients' vital signs, including blood pressure, heart rate, and glucose levels, and alert healthcare providers to abnormalities (Kumar et al., 2020).

Despite AI's potential benefits in healthcare, there are also challenges and limitations. Concerns over privacy and data security are among them, along with potential legal issues and the requirement for vast and varied datasets for AI algorithm training. There is also a need for collaboration between healthcare providers, AI developers, and regulators to ensure that AI is integrated into healthcare safely, effectively, and ethically (Topol, 2019).

2.0 Literature review

The healthcare sector is seeing a surge in the use of artificial intelligence (AI), promising to improve drug discovery, diagnosis, and overall efficiency (Ramesh, A., 2021). However, integrating AI into healthcare systems is challenging. Legal and ethical concerns surround bias, transparency, and accountability in AI algorithms, especially regarding patient data (Naik et al., 2022). For instance, healthcare professionals worry that AI algorithms might perpetuate existing medical biases, potentially leading to misdiagnosis or unequal access to care.

Furthermore, the rapid advancement of AI technology in healthcare raises questions about the accountability of decision-making. In traditional medical practice, healthcare professionals are responsible for their decisions (The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Issues and Initiatives, 2020). However, as AI algorithms become increasingly involved in diagnosis and treatment recommendations, there is a need to establish clear lines of accountability and ensure that ultimate responsibility for patient care remains with the healthcare professionals (Pasricha, S., 2022)

Leadership also plays a crucial role. Implementing AI requires not only the technology but also a cultural shift within healthcare organizations and a workforce equipped to handle this new technology (Petersson et al., 2020). Leaders must develop strategies to address challenges like external conditions and internal resistance to change within their organizations (Petersson et al., 2020). Furthermore, as AI becomes more entrenched in healthcare, continuous education and upskilling initiatives are essential to ensure that the healthcare workforce remains prepared to effectively leverage AI technologies while upholding the highest patient care and safety

(Reddy, S. et al., 2019). This investment in training and expertise will be critical to successfully integrate AI into the healthcare ecosystem (Davenport, H, T. and Glaser, J., 2022)

Beyond these challenges, there's the question of accessibility. Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) have the potential to benefit greatly from AI in healthcare, but limitations in data infrastructure and a lack of transparency in AI algorithms create hurdles (Ciecierski-Holmes et al., 2022). Studies have shown that AI can be applied to various tasks in LMIC healthcare settings, but more research is needed to determine its effectiveness and ensure responsible implementation (Ciecierski-Holmes et al., 2022). Additionally, efforts to address these challenges must be collaborative and driven by a commitment to expanding access to AI technologies while maintaining ethical standards and patient-centric care (Alami H. et al., 2020).

3.0 Discussion

I. Critical Analysis

	Methodologies, strengths, and limitations	**Findings key insights and areas of agreement or disagreement.**
Journal 1	This article uses a discussion-based approach, reviewing AI's potential benefits and challenges in healthcare. It highlights the need for clear standards and regulations. Although this method can offer insightful information, more empirical evidence is required to support its assertions.	The legal and ethical concerns surrounding the integration of AI in healthcare are multifaceted and complex. While AI can greatly increase diagnosis accuracy, expedite drug discovery, and enhance overall healthcare delivery, it also brings many challenges. The issue of bias in AI algorithms is of particular concern, as it has the potential to perpetuate existing medical biases and subsequently lead to misdiagnosis or unequal access to care for patients (Nazer L. et al., 2023)
Journal 2	This article uses a qualitative approach, conducting interviews with healthcare leaders in Sweden to understand their concerns about AI implementation. This method provides valuable real-world perspectives but may need to be more generalizable to other healthcare systems or countries.	Leaders in the field widely acknowledge the potential of AI to address healthcare challenges. However, the implementation of AI in healthcare comes with its own set of challenges. These challenges extend beyond the technological aspects and encompass external factors, internal capacity for change, and the transformation of the healthcare workforce (Bajwa, j. et al., 2021) External factors such as regulatory frameworks, data infrastructure, and access to resources, crucial for the successful integration of AI into healthcare systems, demand immediate attention. Additionally, internal capacity for change within healthcare

		organizations is not just important; it's imperative. This involves not only adapting to new technological advancements but also fostering a cultural shift that embraces innovation and data-driven decision-making (Dias, R. and Torkamani, A., 2019)
Journal 3	This article uses a systematic scoping review methodology to evaluate existing research on AI applications in LMIC healthcare settings. This strengths-based approach identifies potential applications and areas for further research. However, scoping reviews do not assess the quality of the included studies.	Artificial intelligence's potential to enhance healthcare in low- and middle-income nations is significant, particularly in aiding triage, diagnosis, and treatment planning. However, to integrate AI effectively, numerous challenges in these settings must be addressed. (Hosny, A. and Aerts,J,H., 2019) A primary obstacle is the restricted accessibility to information in LMICs. Robust and comprehensive data infrastructure is essential for AI algorithms to function effectively and provide accurate medical insights. Access to high-quality and diverse data sets is necessary for AI's potential in healthcare to be improved. (Wahl, B. et al., 2018)

II. Synthesis of information

There is no denying AI's potential in the healthcare industry, with all three sources highlighting its potential to revolutionize diagnosis, drug discovery, and healthcare delivery. However, a closer look reveals significant gaps and conflicting information requiring a more critical analysis.

Firstly, the discussion surrounding legal and ethical concerns presents a mixed picture (Naik et al., 2022), emphasizing the dangers of bias in algorithms and the lack of clear liability for AI-related errors. However, empirical data needs to be more robust to quantify these risks. (Petersson et al., 2020) Adds another layer of complexity by highlighting the internal challenges healthcare institutions face, which may overshadow ethical concerns in the initial stages of implementation. The absence of a clear consensus on liability for AI-related errors further complicates the legal landscape, adding another layer of complexity to integrating AI in healthcare.

These ethical and legal challenges underline the necessity of extensive research to quantify the ethical risks associated with AI implementation in healthcare. (Pujari, S et al., 2023). The insights provided by Pujari S et al. further emphasize the need for a thorough examination of AI's moral ramifications for the medical field. Recognizing and resolving these issues is essential to ensure that AI is implemented responsibly and ethically (Murphy K. et al., 2021).

Therefore, it is imperative to conduct extensive research to quantify the ethical risks associated with AI implementation in healthcare.

Secondly, the focus on LMICs (Ciecierski-Holmes et al., 2022) raises questions about potential disparities in AI adoption. While it acknowledges the challenges of limited data and lack of transparency in algorithms, it doesn't address how these issues can be reconciled with the ethical considerations raised (Naik et al., 2022). Can effective AI solutions be developed for LMICs without compromising transparency or potentially exacerbating healthcare inequalities?

It is essential to address how these issues can be reconciled to develop effective AI solutions for LMICs without compromising transparency or exacerbating existing healthcare inequalities. Therefore, future research and implementation efforts should prioritize addressing these disparities and ethical considerations to ensure equitable access to AI advancements in healthcare.

Finally, there needs to be more literature regarding the long-term impact of AI on healthcare workflows and workforce dynamics. While (Petersson et al., 2020) acknowledge the need for effective change management, a more critical analysis is needed to explore potential job displacement and the skills healthcare professionals will require to thrive in an AI-driven future.

This gap in the literature calls for an in-depth examination of how AI may restructure healthcare workflows and redefine the skill sets necessary for healthcare professionals. It is imperative to address the potential job displacement and identify the specific skills and knowledge essential for healthcare professionals to effectively utilize AI in their practice (Petersson L. et al., 2013). Moreover, a deeper understanding of how AI integration may shape the roles and responsibilities of healthcare professionals over time is essential for facilitating a smooth and strategic transition towards an AI-driven healthcare landscape (Jha, D et al., 2023)

In conclusion, while AI's potential in healthcare is significant, a more critical lens is necessary. Further research should address the limitations of current studies, quantify ethical risks, and explore the long-term implications for healthcare professionals and LMICs. Only through responsible development and a focus on equitable access can AI truly unlock its transformative potential in healthcare.

III. Identify and discusses several challenges faced by the healthcare industry, in implementing AI technologies.

While AI holds immense promise for revolutionizing healthcare, significant hurdles must be addressed before widespread adoption occurs. Here are three key challenges:

Ethical Concerns and Algorithmic Bias:

Bias in Training Data: AI algorithms are only as good as the data they are trained on. Biases in medical datasets can lead to discriminatory outcomes, potentially exacerbating healthcare disparities (Mullowney M. et al., 2023). For instance, an AI system trained on data where certain ethnicities are underrepresented might misdiagnose them more frequently (Obermeyer Z. et al., 2019)

Lack of Transparency and Explainability: "Black box" algorithms, where the decision-making process is opaque, can erode trust and make identifying and addressing potential biases difficult

(Rosler, W. et al., 2023). Healthcare professionals must understand how AI arrives at its conclusions to ensure patient safety and ethical decision-making.

Regulatory Landscape and Liability:

Unclear Legal Frameworks: Current regulations often need to catch up on the rapid development of AI technology, creating uncertainty for healthcare providers (Rajpukar P. et al., 2022). Questions regarding liability for AI-related errors, data privacy, and intellectual property ownership remain unanswered in many jurisdictions (Chen, R.J. et al., 2023).

Difficulties in Standardization: The lack of standardized frameworks for developing and deploying AI in healthcare hinders interoperability and creates challenges for scalability across different healthcare systems (Bajwa, J. et al., 2021).

Integration and Change Management:

Resistance to Change: Introducing AI into established healthcare workflows can lead to resistance from healthcare professionals concerned about job displacement, the complexity of new technologies, or potential negative impacts on patient care (Gauthier, B. et al., 2020). Clear communication and efficient change management techniques are essential for successful integration.

Lack of Infrastructure and Resources: Many healthcare institutions need more infrastructure, such as robust data storage and computing power, to support AI implementation (Azzi S. et al., 2020). Additionally, training healthcare professionals and developing necessary IT skills require significant resources.

4.0 Conclusion and Implications

AI in healthcare presents a future with possibilities for improved diagnoses, drug discovery, and streamlined healthcare delivery (Bohr, A. and Memarzadeh, K., 2020). However, navigating this exciting path necessitates confronting significant challenges. Ethical considerations take centre stage, demanding clear regulations to address potential algorithm bias, ensure patient data privacy, and establish clear liability lines for AI-related errors (Naik N. et al., 2022). Implementation hurdles add another layer of complexity, requiring leadership to develop strategic change management plans and address potential resistance from healthcare professionals who may be apprehensive about the impact of AI on their roles (Petersson, L. et al., 2020). Data limitations for low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) pose an additional barrier to AI adoption (Ciecierski-Holmes T. et al., 2022).

Developing robust regulatory frameworks is critical to establishing ethical boundaries and ensuring patient safety (Naik, N. et al., 2022). Further research is essential, focusing on mitigating bias in AI algorithms and exploring solutions for LMICs with limited data resources (Ciecierski-Holmes T. et al., 2022). Building capacity within the healthcare workforce through training and fostering collaboration with AI developers is crucial for successful integration (Petersson L. et al., 2020). Empowering leadership to develop strategic plans and address workforce concerns through effective change management will be paramount (Petersson L. et al., 2020). Finally, prioritizing transparency and explainability in AI algorithms is essential for building trust and ensuring responsible implementation, particularly in LMIC settings where trust may be more fragile (Ciecierski-Holmes, T. et al., 2022).

References

Alami, H., Rivard, L., Lehoux, P., Hoffman, S.J., Cadeddu, S.B.M., Savoldelli, M., Samri, M.A., Ag Ahmed, M.A., Fleet, R. and Fortin, J.-P. (2020). Artificial intelligence in health care: laying the Foundation for Responsible, sustainable, and inclusive innovation in low- and middle-income countries. *Globalization and Health*, 16(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00584-1.

Apell, P. and Eriksson, H. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) healthcare technology innovations: the current state and challenges from a life science industry perspective. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 35(2), pp.1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2021.1971188.

Azzi, S., Gagnon, S., Ramirez, A. and Richards, G. (2020). Healthcare Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Analytics: A Review and Proposed Framework. *Applied Sciences*, 10(18), p.6553. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10186553.

Bajwa, J., Munir, U., Nori, A. and Williams, B. (2021). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the practice of medicine. *Future Healthcare Journal*, 8(2), pp.e188–e194. https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2021-0095.

Bohr, A. and Memarzadeh, K. (2020). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Applications. *Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare*, 1(1), pp.25–60. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7325854/.

Chen, R.J., Wang, J.J., Drew, Chen, T.Y., Lipkova, J., Lu, M.Y., Sahai, S. and Mahmood, F. (2023). Algorithmic fairness in artificial intelligence for medicine and healthcare. *Nature biomedical engineering*, 7(6), pp.719–742. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-023-01056-8.

Ciecierski-Holmes, T., Singh, R., Axt, M., Brenner, S. and Barteit, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence for strengthening healthcare systems in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic scoping review. *npj Digital Medicine*, 5(1), pp.1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00700-y.

Davenport, T.H. and Glaser, J.P. (2022). Factors governing the adoption of artificial intelligence in healthcare providers. *Discover Health Systems*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44250-022-00004-8.

Dias, R. and Torkamani, A. (2019). Artificial intelligence in clinical and genomic diagnostics. *Genome Medicine*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-019-0689-8.

Gauthier, B., Dupont, C., Gosselin, N. and de Guise, E. (2020). Neuropsychology supervision: A survey of practices in Quebec and a cross-cultural comparison. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 35(7), pp.1232–1257. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2020.1732467.

Guo, J. and Li, B. (2018). The Application of Medical Artificial Intelligence Technology in Rural Areas of Developing Countries. *Health Equity*, 2(1), pp.174–181. https://doi.org/10.1089/heq.2018.0037.

Hazarika, I. (2020). Artificial intelligence: opportunities and implications for the health workforce. *International Health*, 12(4). https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihaa007.

Hosny, A. and Aerts, H.J.W.L. (2019). Artificial intelligence for global health. *Science*, 366(6468), pp.955–956. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay5189.

Mullowney, M.W., Duncan, K.R., Elsayed, S.S., Garg, N., van der Hooft, J.J.J., Martin, N.I., Meijer, D., Terlouw, B.R., Biermann, F., Blin, K., Durairaj, J., Gorostiola González, M., Helfrich, E.J.N., Huber, F., Leopold-Messer, S., Rajan, K., de Rond, T., van Santen, J.A., Sorokina, M. and Balunas, M.J. (2023). Artificial intelligence for natural product drug discovery. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 19(8), 448-459, pp.1–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-023-00774-7.

Murphy, K., Di Ruggiero, E., Upshur, R., Willison, D.J., Malhotra, N., Cai, J.C., Malhotra, N., Lui, V. and Gibson, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence for good health: a scoping review of the ethics literature. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-021-00577-8.

Naik, N., Hameed, B.M.Z., Shetty, D.K., Swain, D., Shah, M., Paul, R., Aggarwal, K., Ibrahim, S., Patil, V., Smriti, K., Shetty, S., Rai, B.P., Chlosta, P. and Somani, B.K. (2022). Legal and Ethical Consideration in Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Who Takes Responsibility? *Frontiers in Surgery*, 9(862322), pp.1–6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.862322.

Nazer, L., Razan Zatarah, Waldrip, S., Janny, Moukheiber, M., Khanna, A.K., Hicklen, R.S., Lama Moukheiber, Moukheiber, D., Ma, H. and Mathur, P. (2023). Bias in artificial intelligence algorithms and recommendations for mitigation. *PLOS Digital Health*, 2(6), pp.e0000278–e0000278. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000278.

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C. and Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), pp.447–453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2342.

Pasricha, S. (2022). AI Ethics in Smart Healthcare. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2211.06346.

Paul, D., Sanap, G., Shenoy, S., Kalyane, D., Kalia, K. and Tekade, R.K. (2020). Artificial intelligence in drug discovery and development. *Drug Discovery Today*, 26(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2020.10.010.

Petersson, L., Larsson, I., Nygren, J.M., Nilsen, P., Neher, M., Reed, J.E., Tyskbo, D. and Svedberg, P. (2022). Challenges to implementing artificial intelligence in healthcare: a qualitative interview study with healthcare leaders in Sweden. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08215-8.

Petersson, L., Vincent, K., Svedberg, P., Nygren, J.M. and Larsson, I. (2023). Ethical considerations in implementing AI for mortality prediction in the emergency department: Linking theory and practice. *Digital health*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076231206588.

Pujari, S., Reis, A., Zhao, Y., Alsalamah, S., Serhan, F., Reeder, J.C. and Labrique, A.B. (2023). Artificial intelligence for global health: cautious optimism with safeguards. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 101(6), p.364. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.23.290215.

Rajpurkar, P., Chen, E., Banerjee, O. and Topol, E.J. (2022). AI in health and medicine. *Nature Medicine*, 28(1), pp.31–38. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01614-0.

Reddy, S., Allan, S., Coghlan, S. and Cooper, P. (2019). A governance model for the application of AI in health care. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(3), pp.491–497. https://academic.oup.com/jamia/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/jamia/ocz192/5612169.

Rösler, W., Altenbuchinger, M., Baeßler, B., Beissbarth, T., Beutel, G., Bock, R., von Bubnoff, N., Eckardt, J.-N., Foersch, S., Loeffler, C.M.L., Middeke, J.M., Mueller, M.-L., Oellerich, T., Risse, B., Scherag, A., Schliemann, C., Scholz, M., Spang, R., Thielscher, C. and Tsoukakis, I. (2023). An overview and a roadmap for artificial intelligence in hematology and oncology. *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-023-04667-5.

Singla, S. (2020). AI and IoT in Healthcare. *Springer eBooks*, pp.1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37526-3_1.

Wahl, B., Cossy-Gantner, A., Germann, S. and Schwalbe, N.R. (2018). Artificial intelligence (AI) and global health: how can AI contribute to health in resource-poor settings? *BMJ Global Health*, 3(4), p.e000798. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000798.

www.europarl.europa.eu. (2020). *The ethics of artificial intelligence: Issues and initiatives | Panel for the Future of Science and Technology (STOA) | European Parliament*. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/stoa/en/document/EPRS_STU(2020)634452.